## **Processes in Software Development**

Presented 11.3.2008 by Lars Yde, M.Sc., at "Selected Topics in Software Development", DIKU spring semester 2008





How the Project Leader understood it



How the Analyst designed it



How the Programmer wrote it



How the Business Consultant described it



How the project was documented



What operations installed



How the customer was billed



How it was supported



What the customer really needed

YEAR	COMPANY	OUTCOME (COSTS IN US \$)
2005	Hudson Bay Co. [Canada]	Problems with inventory system contribute to \$33.3 million* loss.
2004-05	UK Inland Revenue	Software errors contribute to \$3.45 billion* tax-credit overpayment.
2004	Avis Europe PLC [UK]	Enterprise resource planning (ERP) system canceled after \$54.5 million <sup>†</sup> is spent.
2004	Ford Motor Co.	Purchasing system abandoned after deployment costing approximately \$400 million.
2004	J Sainsbury PLC [UK]	Supply-chain management system abandoned after deployment costing \$527 million. <sup>†</sup>
2004	Hewlett-Packard Co.	Problems with ERP system contribute to \$160 million loss.
2003-04	AT&T Wireless	Customer relations management (CRM) upgrade problems lead to revenue loss of \$100 million.
2002	McDonald's Corp.	The Innovate information-purchasing system canceled after \$170 million is spent.
2002	Sydney Water Corp. [Australia]	Billing system canceled after \$33.2 million <sup>†</sup> is spent.
2002	CIGNA Corp.	Problems with CRM system contribute to \$445 million loss.
2001	Nike Inc.	Problems with supply-chain management system contribute to \$100 million loss.
2001	Kmart Corp.	Supply-chain management system canceled after \$130 million is spent.
2000	Washington, D.C.	City payroll system abandoned after deployment costing \$25 million.
1999	United Way	Administrative processing system canceled after \$12 million is spent.
1999	State of Mississippi	Tax system canceled after \$11.2 million is spent; state receives \$185 million damages.
1999	Hershey Foods Corp.	Problems with ERP system contribute to \$151 million loss.
1998	Snap-on Inc.	Problems with order-entry system contribute to revenue loss of \$50 million.
1997	U.S. Internal Revenue Service	Tax modernization effort canceled after \$4 billion is spent.
1997	State of Washington	Department of Motor Vehicle (DMV) system canceled after \$40 million is spent.
1997	Oxford Health Plans Inc.	Billing and claims system problems contribute to quarterly loss; stock plummets,
		leading to \$3.4 billion loss in corporate value.
1996	Arianespace [France]	Software specification and design errors cause \$350 million Ariane 5 rocket to explode.
1996	FoxMeyer Drug Co.	\$40 million ERP system abandoned after deployment, forcing company into bankruptcy.
1995	Toronto Stock Exchange [Canada]	Electronic trading system canceled after \$25.5 million** is spent.
1994	U.S. Federal Aviation Administration	Advanced Automation System canceled after \$2.6 billion is spent.
1994	State of California	DMV system canceled after \$44 million is spent.
1994	Chemical Bank	Software error causes a total of \$15 million to be deducted from IOO 000 customer accounts.
1993	London Stock Exchange [UK]	Taurus stock settlement system canceled after \$600 million** is spent.
1993	Allstate Insurance Co.	Office automation system abandoned after deployment, costing \$130 million.
1993	London Ambulance Service [UK]	Dispatch system canceled in 1990 at \$11.25 million**; second attempt abandoned after deployment, costing \$15 million.**
1993	Greyhound Lines Inc.	Bus reservation system crashes repeatedly upon introduction, contributing to revenue loss of \$61 million.
1992	Budget Rent-A-Car, Hilton Hotels, Marriott International, and AMR [American Airlines]	Travel reservation system canceled after \$165 million is spent.

SOURCES: BUSINESS WEEK, CEO MAGAZINE, COMPUTERWORLD, INFOWEEK, FORTUNE, THE NEW YORK TIMES, TIME, AND THE WALL STREET JOURNAL

People-Related Mistakes	Process-Related Mistakes	Product-Related Mistakes	Technology-Related Mistakes
1. Undermined motivation	14. Overly optimistic schedules	28. Requirements gold- plating	33. Silver-bullet syndrome
2. Weak personnel	16. Insufficient risk	29. Feature creep	34. Overestimated
3. Uncontrolled problem employees	17. Contractor failure	30. Developer gold-plating	methods
4. Heroics	Insufficient planning	31. Push me, pull me negotiation	35. Switching tools in the middle of a project
5. Adding people to a late project	18. Abandonment of planning under pressure	32. Research-oriented development	36. Lack of automated source-code control
6. Noisy, crowded offices	19. Wasted time during the fuzzy front end		
7. Friction between developers and customers	20. Shortchanged upstream activities		
8. Unrealistic expectations	21. Inadequate design		
9. Lack of effective project sponsorship	22. Shortchanged quality assurance		
10. Lack of stakeholder buy-in	23. Insufficient management controls		
11. Lack of user input	24. Premature or too frequent convergence		
12. Politics placed over substance	25. Omitting necessary tasks from estimates		
13. Wishful thinking	26. Planning to catch up later		
	27. Code-like-hell programming		

This material is Copyright © 1996 by Steven C. McConnell. All Rights Reserved.

# ACM Code of Ethics

As an ACM member I will

Contribute to society and human well-being.

Avoid harm to others.

Be honest and trustworthy.

Be fair and take action not to discriminate.

Honor property rights including copyrights and patent.

Give proper credit for intellectual property.

Respect the privacy of others.

Honor confidentiality.



# Catastrophic bugs

July 28, 1962 -- Mariner I space probe. 1982 -- Soviet gas pipeline. 1985-1987 -- Therac-25 medical accelerator. 1988 -- Buffer overflow in Berkeley Unix finger daemon.

1988-1996 -- Kerberos Random Number Generator.

January 15, 1990 -- AT&T Network Outage.

1993 -- Intel Pentium floating point divide.

1995/1996 -- The Ping of Death.

June 4, 1996 -- Ariane 5 Flight 501.

November 2000 -- National Cancer Institute, Panama City.

### What users do, not what they say

Source: steampowered.com



#### Primary Display Resolution (1380239 Users)

800 x 600	23,253	1.68 %	Ш
1024 x 768	439,480	31.84 %	
1152 x 864	71,699	5.19 %	
1280 x 960	546,251	39.58 %	
1440 x 900	101,240	7.33 %	
1600 x 1200	23,674	1.72 %	11
1680 x 1050	124,225	9.00 %	
1920 x 1200	31,321	2.27 %	Ш
Other	19,096	1.38 %	

The 10th edition of the annual CHAOS report from The Standish Group

"The 2004 CHAOS report, entitled "CHAOS Chronicles," found total U.S. project waste to be **\$55 billion**, made up of **\$38 billion** in lost dollar value and **\$17 billion in cost overruns**. Total project spending was found to be \$255 billion in the 2004 report.

In 1994, The Standish Group estimated U.S. IT projects wasted **\$140 billion—\$80 billion** of that from **failed projects**—out of a total of **\$250 billion** in project spending."

Standish Chairman Jim Johnson says, "The primary reason is the projects have gotten a lot smaller. Doing projects with iterative processing as opposed to the waterfall method, which called for all project requirements to be defined up front, is a major step forward."

Softwaremag.com, January Issue 2004

## Brief history of software dev meth



# Scripted methodology / framework

- Waterfall / Big Design Up Front (BDUF)
- Spiral
- Unified Process
- Microsoft Solutions Framework
- CMM / CMMI
- ITIL
- Six Sigma
- Et al

#### The virtuous cycle that never was

Source: notetech.com



# Scripted methodology #2: spiral

Boehm, Barry: "A Spiral Model of Software Development and Enhancement", 1988





#### Scripted methodology #1 : waterfall



# **Rational Unified Process**

- Adapt the process
- Balance stakeholder priorities
- Collaborate across teams
- Demonstrate value iteratively
- Elevate the level of abstraction
- Focus continuously on quality



- Develop a Vision
- Manage to the Plan
- Identify and Mitigate Risks
- Assign and Track Issues
- Examine the Business Case
- Design a Component Architecture
- Incrementally Build and Test the Product
- Verify and Evaluate Results
- Manage and Control Changes
- Provide User Support

# CMM / CMMI

		Level		Capability	Result
		2 <sup>Optim</sup>	Continuous Process Improvement	Organizational Innovation & Deployment Causal Analysis & Resolution	Productivity & Quality
	4	Quanti- tatively Managed	Quantitative Management	Quantitative Process Management Software Quality Management	
Defined		Process Standardization		Requirements Development Technical Solution Product Integration Verification Validation Organizational Process Focus Organizational Process Definition Organizational Training Integrated Product Management Risk Management Integrated Teaming Integrated Teaming Integrated Supplier Management Decision Analysis & Resolution Organizational Environment for Integration	
2	Managed	Ma	Basic Project anagement	Requirements Management Project Planning Project Monitoring & Control Supplier Agreement Management Measurement & Analysis Product & Process Quality Assurance Configuration Management	
1		H E	eroic fforts	Design Develop Integrate Test	Risk & Waste

# Agile Manifesto

Our highest priority is to satisfy the customer through early and continuous delivery of valuable software.

• Welcome changing requirements, even late in development. Agile processes harness change for the customer's competitive advantage.

• Deliver working software frequently, from a couple of weeks to a couple of months, with a preference to the shorter timescale.

• Business people and developers must work together daily throughout the project.

• Build projects around motivated individuals. Give them the environment and support they need, and trust them to get the job done.

• The most efficient and effective method of conveying information to and within a development team is face-to-face conversation.

· Working software is the primary measure of progress.

· Agile processes promote sustainable development. The sponsors, developers, and users should be able to maintain a constant pace indefinitely.

· Continuous attention to technical excellence and good design enhances agility.

· Simplicity--the art of maximizing the amount of work not done--is essential.

• The best architectures, requirements, and designs emerge from self-organizing teams.

 $\cdot$  At regular intervals, the team reflects on how to become more effective, then tunes and adjusts its behavior accordingly.

# Agile practices

- Scrum (sprints, product owners, daily scrumming, deliverables, retrospective)
- XP (pair programming ,refactoring, simplicity, courage)
- Crystal (people focus)
- DSDM (pareto principle, MoSCoW, goodenough delivery)
- Lean

# Lean software development

- Eliminate waste (redundancies, duplicate code, lack of clarity, etc) – identify through eg. code review, remedy through eg. generic programming / refactoring / mercilessness
- Amplify learning (small iterations, 1:1's, customer interaction)
- Decide as late as possible (small increments, RAD, thoughtful design, loosely coupled code)
- Deliver as fast as possible (clear goals, achievable goals, high velocity, daily scrumming, removing churn/context switching)
- Empower the team (trust, high-level instruction)
- Build integrity in (transparency, loyalty to the vision, clear focus)
- See the whole (system in context)

# Deming's deadly diseases

- Lack of constancy of purpose.
- Emphasis on short-term profits.
- Evaluation by performance, merit rating, or annual review of performance.
- Mobility of management.
- Running a company on visible figures alone.
- Excessive medical costs.
- Excessive costs of warranty, fueled by lawyers who work for contingency fees.



# Six Sigma



# Cost of correction relative to phase



