

Decomposition of the Capacitated Lot Sizing Problem with Setup Times

Mads Kehlet Jepsen

Overview

- Short recap of Column Generation

Overview

- Short recap of Column Generation
- Notation and Mathematical model for CLST

Overview

- Short recap of Column Generation
- Notation and Mathematical model for CLST
- Capacitated Lot Sizing Problem with Setup Times (CLST) example

Overview

- Short recap of Column Generation
- Notation and Mathematical model for CLST
- Capacitated Lot Sizing Problem with Setup Times (CLST) example
- Network reformulation of the CLST

Overview

- Short recap of Column Generation
- Notation and Mathematical model for CLST
- Capacitated Lot Sizing Problem with Setup Times (CLST) example
- Network reformulation of the CLST
- CLST example in the Network reformulation

Overview

- Short recap of Column Generation
- Notation and Mathematical model for CLST
- Capacitated Lot Sizing Problem with Setup Times (CLST) example
- Network reformulation of the CLST
- CLST example in the Network reformulation
- Decomposition of Network reformulation for CLST

CLST Big Bucket Model

- CLST is a single level single resource problem, but it handles multiple items

CLST Big Bucket Model

- CLST is a single level single resource problem, but it handles multiple items
- We consider a big bucket model where several items can be produced in each time period.

CLST Big Bucket Model

- CLST is a single level single resource problem, but it handles multiple items
- We consider a big bucket model where several items can be produced in each time period.
- In a big bucket model scheduling is not included in contrast to the small bucket model

CLST Big Bucket Model

- CLST is a single level single resource problem, but it handles multiple items
- We consider a big bucket model where several items can be produced in each time period.
- In a big bucket model scheduling is not included in contrast to the small bucket model
- Setup times indicate the time it takes to prepare the machine to the next item.

CLST Big Bucket Model

- CLST is a single level single resource problem, but it handles multiple items
- We consider a big bucket model where several items can be produced in each time period.
- In a big bucket model scheduling is not included in contrast to the small bucket model
- Setup times indicate the time it takes to prepare the machine to the next item.
- We also consider holding cost, setup cost, variable production cost and variable production time for each product

Notation

N set of items $\{1, \dots, n\}$

T set of time periods $\{1, \dots, m\}$

d_{it} demand in period t for item i

vc_{it} production cost in period t for item i

hc_{it} holding cost in period t for item i

sc_{it} setup cost in period t for item i

st_{it} setup time for item i in period t

sd_{itk} sum of demand from period i to period k

vt_{it} production time for item i in period t

fc_i cost of initial inventory for item i

Notation Continued

cap_t capacity in period t

cap_{it} capacity for item i in period t .

$$cap_{it} = \min\left\{\frac{cap_t}{vt_{it}} - \frac{st_{it}}{vt_{it}}, sd_{itm}\right\}$$

x_{it} production in period t for item i

y_{it} Indicates if machine is setup for item i in

s_{it} stock in period t for item i

si_i initial stock of item i period t

Mathematical model

$$\min \sum_{i \in P} f c_i s_i + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{t \in T} v c_{it} x_{it} + h c_{it} s_{it} + s c_{it} y_{it}$$

$$s_i + x_{i,1} = d_{1,i} + s_{i,1} \quad \forall i \in P$$

$$s_{i,t-1} + x_{it} = d_{it} + s_{it} \quad \forall i \in P \quad \forall t \in T \setminus \{1\}$$

$$x_{it} \leq cap_{it} \quad \forall i \in P \quad \forall t \in T$$

$$\sum_{i \in P} s_{it} y_{it} + v_{it} x_{it} \leq cap_t \quad \forall t \in T$$

$$x_{it} \geq 0, s_{it} \geq 0, s_i \geq 0, y_{it} \in \{0, 1\} \quad \forall i \in P \quad \forall t \in T$$

Example of CLST

Instance with 5 periods and 2 items and capacity of 10 in each period. We disregard the production, setup and holding cost and just look for a solution with no initial inventory:

<i>item1</i>						<i>item2</i>					
<i>period</i>	1	2	3	4	5	<i>period</i>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>d</i>	3	2	2	3	4	<i>d</i>	0	5	2	3	4
<i>st</i>	2	2	2	2	2	<i>st</i>	2	2	2	2	2
<i>vt</i>	1	1	1	1	1	<i>vt</i>	1	1	1	1	1
<i>fc</i>	10	10	10	10	10	<i>fc</i>	10	10	10	10	10

A solution to the example

	<i>item1</i>					<i>item2</i>				
<i>period</i>	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5
<i>x</i>	8	0	6	0	0	0	8	0	6	0
<i>s</i>	5	3	7	4	0	0	3	1	4	0
<i>st</i>	2	0	2	0	0	0	2	0	2	0

Reformulation

- Basic observation is that production in a period satisfy some periods in the future.

Reformulation

- Basic observation is that production in a period satisfy some periods in the future.
- A solution is a combination of initial inventory satisfying demand in period t to k and production in period t satisfying demand from period t to k

Reformulation

- Basic observation is that production in a period satisfy some periods in the future.
- A solution is a combination of initial inventory satisfying demand in period t to k and production in period t satisfying demand from period t to k
- Idea is to find new formulation where we formulate problem as a combination of production schedules that covers a given set of time periods for each item.

Reformulation

- Basic observation is that production in a period satisfy some periods in the future.
- A solution is a combination of initial inventory satisfying demand in period t to k and production in period t satisfying demand from period t to k
- Idea is to find new formulation where we formulate problem as a combination of production schedules that covers a given set of time periods for each item.
- Note that Wagner Whitin property does not hold.

Reformulation continued

Reformulation continued

The total production and holding cost for producing item i in period t to satisfy demand from period t to period k

$$cv_{itk} = vc_{it}sd_{itk} + \sum_{s=t+1}^k \sum_{u=t}^{s-1} hc_{iu}d_{is}$$

Reformulation continued

The total production and holding cost for producing item i in period t to satisfy demand from period t to period k

$$cv_{itk} = vc_{it}sd_{itk} + \sum_{s=t+1}^k \sum_{u=t}^{s-1} hc_{iu}d_{is}$$

The total production and holding cost for initial inventory for item i to satisfy demand from period t to period k

$$ci_{it} = fc_{i}sd_{i1t} + \sum_{s=2}^t \sum_{u=1}^{s-1} hc_{iu}d_{is}$$

Reformulation continued

z_{vitk} The fraction of production plan for product i in period t that satisfies demand from period t to k .

w_{it} Be the fraction of initial inventory plan for product i where demand is satisfied for the first t periods.

Network Reformulation model

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \min \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{t \in T} s_{cit} y_{it} + c_{it} w_{it} + \sum_{k=t}^m c_{vitk} z_{vitk} \\
 & \sum_{k \in T} w_{ik} + z_{v_{i,1,k}} = 1 \quad \forall i \in P \\
 & w_{i,t-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} z_{v_{ik,t-1}} - \sum_{k=t}^m z_{ik,t-1} = 0 \quad \forall i \in P \quad \forall t \in T \setminus 1 \\
 & \sum_{k=t}^m z_{vitk} \leq y_{it} \quad \forall i \in P, \quad \forall t \in T \\
 & \sum_{i \in P} s_{it} y_{it} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^m v_{it} s_{ditk} z_{itk} \leq cap_t \quad \forall t \in T \\
 & y_{it} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad w_{it} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in P, \quad \forall t \in T
 \end{aligned}$$

Reformulation example

<i>item1</i>						<i>item2</i>					
<i>period</i>	1	2	3	4	5	<i>period</i>	1	2	3	4	5
<i>d</i>	3	2	2	3	4	<i>d</i>	0	5	2	3	4
<i>st</i>	2	2	2	2	2	<i>st</i>	2	2	2	2	2
<i>vt</i>	1	1	1	1	1	<i>vt</i>	1	1	1	1	1
<i>fc</i>	10	10	10	10	10	<i>fc</i>	10	10	10	10	10

Example of objective

ci_{11}

ci_{12}

ci_{13}

ci_{14}

ci_{15}

Example of objective

$$ci_{11} = 10 * 3 + 0 = 30$$

$$ci_{12}$$

$$ci_{13}$$

$$ci_{14}$$

$$ci_{15}$$

Example of objective

$$ci_{11} = 10 * 3 + 0 = 30$$

$$ci_{12} = 10 * 5 + 0 = 50$$

$$ci_{13}$$

$$ci_{14}$$

$$ci_{15}$$

Example of objective

$$ci_{11} = 10 * 3 + 0 = 30$$

$$ci_{12} = 10 * 5 + 0 = 50$$

$$ci_{13} = 10 * 7 + 0 = 70$$

$$ci_{14} = 10 * 10 + 0 = 100$$

$$ci_{15} = 10 * 14 + 0 = 140$$

Network reformulation solution

Network reformulation solution

$$z_{115} = \frac{1}{7}$$

Network reformulation solution

$$z_{115} = \frac{1}{7}$$

$$z_{112} = \frac{6}{7}$$

Network reformulation solution

$$\begin{aligned}z_{115} &= \frac{1}{7} \\z_{112} &= \frac{6}{7} \\z_{125} &= \frac{6}{7}\end{aligned}$$

Network reformulation solution

$$z_{115} = \frac{1}{7}$$

$$z_{112} = \frac{6}{7}$$

$$z_{125} = \frac{6}{7}$$

$$z_{211} = 1$$

Network reformulation solution

$$z_{115} = \frac{1}{7}$$

$$z_{112} = \frac{6}{7}$$

$$z_{125} = \frac{6}{7}$$

$$z_{211} = 1$$

$$z_{225} = \frac{1}{7}$$

Network reformulation solution

$$z_{115} = \frac{1}{7}$$

$$z_{112} = \frac{6}{7}$$

$$z_{125} = \frac{6}{7}$$

$$z_{211} = 1$$

$$z_{225} = \frac{1}{7}$$

$$z_{223} = \frac{6}{7}$$

Network reformulation solution

$$z_{115} = \frac{1}{7}$$

$$z_{112} = \frac{6}{7}$$

$$z_{125} = \frac{6}{7}$$

$$z_{211} = 1$$

$$z_{225} = \frac{1}{7}$$

$$z_{223} = \frac{6}{7}$$

$$z_{245} = \frac{6}{7}$$

Decomposition

- The decomposition is per time period

Decomposition

- The decomposition is per time period
- The pricing problem is to find a feasible schedule in a timeperiod

Decomposition

- The decomposition is per time period
- The pricing problem is to find a feasible schedule in a timeperiod
- The master problem will ensure that the demand is satisfied for each item.

Decomposition

- The decomposition is per time period
- The pricing problem is to find a feasible schedule in a timeperiod
- The master problem will ensure that the demand is satisfied for each item.

We consider the m polytopes:

$$X^t = \begin{cases} \sum_{k=t}^m z v_{itk} & \leq y_{it} & \forall i \in P, \forall t \in T \\ \sum st_{it} y_{it} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^m vt_{it} s d_{itk} z_{itk} & \leq cap_t & \forall t \in T \end{cases}$$

Decomposition continued

S_t Set of production plans that are extreme points in X^t

ct_{tq} Cost of production plan $q \in S_t$

z_{itk} decision variable associated with schedule q

a_{iktq} Indicates how much variable z_{itk} is set in extreme point $q \in S_t$

Master Problem

$$\min \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{t \in T} c_{it} w_{it} + \sum_{t \in T} \sum_{q \in S_t} c_{tq} z_{tq}$$

$$\sum_{k=t}^m z_{vitk} \leq y_{it} \quad \forall i \in P, \quad \forall t \in T$$

$$w_{i,t-1} + \sum_{k=1}^{t-1} \sum_{q \in S_k} a_{ik,t-1,q} z_{tq} - \sum_{k=1}^m \sum_{q \in S_t} a_{itkq} z_{tq} = 0 \quad \forall i \in P \quad \forall t \in T \setminus \{1\}, (\pi_{it})$$

$$\sum_{q \in S_t} z_{tq} = 1 \quad \forall t \in T, (\mu_t)$$

$$z_{tq} \geq 0$$

Reduced Cost

$$a_{itkq} = z_{vitk}$$

$$c_{tq} = \sum_{i \in P} sc_{it} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^m cv_{itk} z_{vitk}$$

Reduced Cost

$$a_{itkq} = z_{vitk}$$

$c_{tq} = \sum_{i \in P} s_{cit} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^m c_{vitk} z_{vitk}$ The reduced cost for a column where $t \neq m$ then becomes:

$$\hat{c}_{tq} = \sum_{i \in P} s_{cit} y_{it} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^m c_{vitk} z_{vitk} - \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^m \pi_{it} z_{vitk} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^{m-1} \pi_{i,k+1} z_{vitk} - \mu_t$$

Reduced Cost

$$a_{itkq} = z_{vitk}$$

$c_{tq} = \sum_{i \in P} s_{cit} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^m c_{vitk} z_{vitk}$ The reduced cost for a column where $t \neq m$ then becomes:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{c}_{tq} &= \sum_{i \in P} s_{cit} y_{it} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^m c_{vitk} z_{vitk} - \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^m \pi_{it} z_{vitk} + \\ &\quad \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^{m-1} \pi_{i,k+1} z_{vitk} - \mu_t \\ &= \sum_{i \in P} s_{cit} y_{it} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^{m-1} (c_{vitk} - \pi_{it} - \pi_{i,k+1}) z_{vitk} \end{aligned}$$

Pricing Problem

$$\min \sum_{i \in P} s_{Cit} y_{it} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^{m-1} (c_{vitk} - \pi_{it} - \pi_{i,k+1}) z_{vitk}$$

$$\sum_{k=t}^m z_{vitk} \leq y_{it} \quad \forall i \in P, \forall t \in T$$

$$\sum_{i \in P} s_{it} y_{it} + \sum_{i \in P} \sum_{k=t}^m v_{it} s_{ditk} z_{itk} \leq cap_t \quad \forall t \in T$$

$$y_{it} \in \{0, 1\}, \quad z_{vitk} \geq 0 \quad \forall i \in P, k \in T$$